



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/802,682	03/17/2004	Akira Asakura	13735 US1 (C038435/010970)	9826
7590 08/28/2008				
Stephen M. Haracz, Esq. BRYAN CAVE LLP 1290 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10104-3300				
EXAMINER				
WALICKA, MALGORZATA A				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1652				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
08/28/2008		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/802,682

**Applicant(s)**

ASAKURA ET AL.

**Examiner**

MALGORZATA A. WALICKA

**Art Unit**

1652

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MALGORZATA A. WALICKA.(3) Eileen Ebel.

(2) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 26 August 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant

2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes

e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 2 and dependent.

Identification of prior art discussed: NA.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants' representative indicated that although the last amendment, being the subject of Advisory of 08/19/2008, introduced new matter to the claims, claim 2 seems to be free of new matter. The examiner emphasized that claim 2 is free of new matter and allowable. Furthermore, the examiner indicated that claims that currently depend on claim 1 or 2, if rewritten to be dependent on claim 2 only, would be also allowable.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Malgorzata A. Walicka/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1652